

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address C MAMISSI NER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington DC 20231
www.inspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/834,110	04/12/2001	Pankaj J. Pasricha	265,0009 0101	5306
26813	7590 05 01-2002			
MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A. P.O. BOX 581415 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458			EXAMINER	
			WOITACH, JOSEPH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	(A)
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2002	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/834,110	PASRICHA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Joseph Woitach	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Posnonsivo to communication(s) filed on					
1)[_ 2a)[_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	— · iis action is non-final.				
3)	,—		ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· ·	on of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	olootion requirement				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						

Application/Control Number: 09/834,110

Art Unit: 1632

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

This application filed April 12, 2001, claims benefit to provisional applications: 60/196,806, filed May 13, 2000; and 60/232,301, filed September 12, 2000.

Claims 1-20 are pending and currently under examination.

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of treating a disorder comprising implanting stem cells, progeny or combinations thereof, into the gastrointestinal organ of a subject, classified in class 424, subclass 93.21.
- II. Claims 17-20, drawn to a method of producing inulin in a subject comprising implanting stem cells, progeny or combinations thereof, into the gastrointestinal organ of a subject, classified in class 424, subclass 93.21.

In addition, if group I is elected an election of species is required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: group I is drawn to several specific disorders to be treated selected from the group of: (1) degenerative disorder; (2) immunological/inflammatory disorder; (3) neoplastic disorder; and (4) idiopathic conditions as set forth in claim 16.

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/834,110

Art Unit: 1632

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case while the methods use similar materials they require different method steps and result in materially different affects in a subject. Group I is drawn broadly to treating any disorder, and group II is drawn specifically to the production of enhanced insulin levels. Group II does not encompass treating any disorder, only producing the insulin protein. Further, the method of group I is directed toward the delivery to gastrointestinal organ, which is recognized in the art to encompass the stomach and intestines, and group II is directed to delivery specifically to the pancreas.

Page 4

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their divergent subject matter restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Application/Control Number: 09/834,110

Art Unit: 1632

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (703)305-3732.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached at (703)305-4051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist Pauline Farrier whose telephone number is (703)305-3550.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center numbers are (703)308-4242 and (703)305-3014.

Joseph T. Woitach

Jack Soutare